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Value-based Care and the Rise of Integrated Networks
The Benefits of Value-based Care

**Patients**
- Lower costs
- Better outcomes
- More personalized care
- Increased satisfaction with care

**Providers**
- Better care efficiencies
- Increased patient satisfaction
- Shared savings bonuses
- Stronger care network

**Payers**
- Stronger cost controls
- Reduced risk
- Increased efficiency through payment bundling

**Suppliers**
- Alignment of prices with patient outcomes
- Responsive inventories, lower supply chain costs

**Society**
- Reduced healthcare spending
- Better overall health
The Rise of Integrated Networks

Declining Practice Ownership

New Types of Organizations: ACOs, IDNs, CINs, PCMHs, and Others

Source: Citi Research, Physician Compensation and Production Survey; IMS HCOS; IMS DOD; IMS Xponent
Value-based Transformation Begins with Data
Aligning with Value-based Initiatives

1. Determine your patient population, care model, and partners.
2. Identify the right data feeds to ingest & aggregate to provide a comprehensive and accurate longitudinal medical record and a 360 degree view of patients.
3. Use quality metrics and established benchmarks to assess performance.
4. Find the right population health management (PHM) solution.
5. Employ analytics to assess the overall health of the covered patient population and identify high-risk, high-cost members and associated care gaps.
6. Make informed, evidenced-based decisions when creating and executing individual patient care plans.
Value-based Transformation Begins with Data

1. Data Transformation
   - Identify data sources to enable a comprehensive view of patients’ healthcare and to feed a robust analytics platform
   - Understand/educate stakeholders on the meaning of available data

2. Analytics Transformation
   - Assess available data in context of current requirements and goals
   - Establish benchmarks and quality metrics to follow
   - Identify and evaluate opportunities for care and network growth
   - Prioritize opportunities
   - Plan for ongoing analysis

3. Care Transformation
   - Identify high utilizers and care gaps to optimize care delivery and management
   - Look for opportunities to decrease hospital admits/LoS
   - Determine patient and provider engagement strategies

4. Payment Transformation
   - Align PHM with financial goals, balancing risk to set a sustainable course
   - Ensure payment for value by correct coding

---

Performance Transformation

Use accumulating data, benchmarks, and quality metrics to drive performance improvement in outcomes, quality of care, efficiencies, and revenue
Transition to value-based care, optimize contract negotiations with payers, and boost clinical and financial performance by closing gaps in patient care and developing targeted, personalized care plans and interventions.

Ensure the value of your data by selecting the right PHM solution

- Raise MSSP performance by leveraging platform functionality and reporting features
- Easy-to-read dashboards that help close gaps in care
- Deliver comprehensive reports to help providers understand performance and trends on contractual quality metrics
- Integrate behavioral health and social determinants of health assessments
- Streamline chronic care management and annual wellness visits for maximum reimbursement
- Modules that support Comprehensive Primary Care Plus (CPC+), and the Oncology Care Model (OCM)
- Submit data on behalf of participating providers for MIPS/MACRA
- Submit GPRO data for the ACO
Leveraging a PHM Solution to Optimize Care Management
360° View of the Patient’s Care

- **MCO and Network Performance Monitoring**
  - E-Infrastructure – share clinical and financial data and eReporting
  - Peer profiles and performance on quality and cost
  - Distribution methodology and network management
  - Strategy for risk contracts – partial or full capitation models
  - Out-of-network use and network and services strategy
  - Monitor claims adjudication and contract performance

- **Medication Monitoring**
  - Patient identification and adherence to medication
  - Compliance and overuse
  - Special focus on controlled substances and opioids

- **Hospitals, Clinically Integrated Networks, and IDNs**
  - Score cards for community providers
  - Facility profile on cost, utilization, quality hospital participation in bundling, and episode-based programs
  - Monitoring of risk-based contracts

- **Health Plans**
  - Provider profiles with continuous monitoring of HEDIS scores
  - Real-time EMR data integration
Population Cohort and Risk Stratification

Proprietary Algorithms

Data Mapping and Integration

Stratify Patients by Risk and Cost

Easily sourced
- Lab results
- Claims data
- Hospital
- Rx
- ODB
- Six weeks
- LTC and homecare assessments
- EMRs/EHRs
- Telemedicine

Reductions Targeted
- ED visits
- Hospital admissions
- HOPD testing

Hot Spotting

Strategy Developed
- Location based
- Provider based
- Cost based
- Quality based
- Time-sensitive priorities

eMPR (Enterprise Master Patient Index)
HealthEC “Personalized” Community Record

Data Sources
- HealthEC eDW
- Care & Quality Reports
- Assessments

Quality Rules
- Disease Rules Engine

Personalized Care Plan
- Identify problems, barriers, goals & interventions
- Identify risk levels through stratification rules
- Evidence-based gaps in care
- Decision support
- SOAP notes

Patient Access
- Patient Education
- Patient engagement
- Alerts & reminders
-Telehealth

Care Plan Tools
- Intervention schedulers
- Outreach & contact logs

Care coordination
Care prioritization

Community Stakeholders
- Community Providers
- Social Agencies
- Community Agencies
- Care Givers Social Support
Targeted prompts for high-risk, high-cost patients
Workflow tools to prioritize cases and monitor case load
Individualized plans of care to close gaps (including payer-specific targets), prevent exacerbation of illness
Incorporating community-based providers
Care Gap Monitoring and Event Alerting
Executing a Care Coordination Strategy

**Built-In Patient Assessments**
- Complex case management
- Disease management – chronic illnesses
- Depression screen – PHQ 2/9
- Cognitive function
- Fall risk
- Chronic case management
- Prevention at home

**Social Determinants of Health**
- Telemedicine triage
- External nursing review
- Annual wellness – HRA
- Pre-visit summary

**Results-based Approaches to Care Coordination**

An approach based on:
- Predictive risk, predictive cost, resources utilization
- High- or rising-cost patient
- High-cost diagnosis or cost per patient
- High-ER users and non-emergent use of ER
- Frequently admitted patients or admissions for low acuity
- Re-admissions by facility, diagnosis
- Focus on chronic care or annual wellness
- HCC risk adjustment factor maximization strategy
- Medication adherence rates
- Quality score improvement
Provider and Patient Engagement

**Provider**
- Notified when patients are in the ER; communicate with hospital staff
- Send/receive patient summaries
- Notifications for care gaps, labs, and referral close loops
- Arrange for transition of care
- View Important/out-of-range results for labs and radiology
- Secure messaging for patients/providers/care coordinators in the network

**Consumer/Parent/Caregiver**
- Reminders and alerts
  - Sent by providers and care coordinators
  - Self-entered by patient
- Tracks actions and rewards them on positive outcomes
- Appointment follow-up
- Assessments
- Referral close loop
- Medication alerts
- Care plan follow-up
- Patient education
- Test result out-of-range alert
- Customized data access

*HealthEC Connects Patient to Provider on a Meaningful Level*
Using Analytics to Drive Compliance and Performance
HealthEC offers flexibility to ingest data and feed it into the standard framework for building measures that can be customized for generating any set of quality measures.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMS Core</th>
<th>eCQM</th>
<th>HEDIS</th>
<th>Star</th>
<th>MIPS</th>
<th>OCM</th>
<th>Health Homes II</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>69</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>2 Categories</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Provides real-time performance monitoring reports
- Timely update of codes
- Easy database access via basic queries
- All business rules are prebuilt into the platform
- View composite score
- View performance by measures
- Compare plans
- Sort/filter view ratings by line of service, plan, practice, provider
- Drill down to the level of patient
- Easy to navigate user interface
Dashboard – Provider Overview

Provider Summary Dashboard

Admits=442; BM=318

-64% -14% 19% 7% 27% 46% 18% 22% 31%
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- For this measure, if the KPI is above benchmark, that is a positive/g.

Provider Summary

Provider: POMEROY, JON
Panel Size: 47
Rate: 6.53
Peer Group: PCP
Peer Group Rate: 571
Variance (%): 19
Practice Name: 695249
Admits: 1000

Monthly Trend by Year

- Chart showing monthly trend from January 2017 to December 2017.
# PCP High-Utilization Roster

## PCP High Priority Patient List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCP</th>
<th>Individual Id</th>
<th>Patient</th>
<th>DOB</th>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Sex</th>
<th>Chronic Condition Hierarchical Group (CCMG)</th>
<th>Top Inpatient Diagnosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>54</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Undefined Dx Group</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Thyroid disorders</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>82</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Spondylosis, Intervertebral disc disorders; other back problems</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>73</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Skin and subcutaneous tissue infections</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Septicemia (except in labor)</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Retinal detachments; defects; vascular occlusion; and retinopathy</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Retinal detachments; defects; vascular occlusion; and retinopathy</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66</td>
<td>M</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Residual codes; unclassified</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>88</td>
<td>F</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>123</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>Regional ailments and ulcerative</td>
<td>Na/A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Examples of Successful Value-based Programs and Lessons Learned
Case Study: Shore Quality Partners

• **Next steps**
  – Engage in downside risk contract no later than January 2019
  – Further diversify value-based contracts with new payers to reduce dependency on any one contract
  – Expand primary care physician base to balance economic and demographic related challenges
  – Increase accountability for physician-related performance metrics

**Continued Development of Value-based Programs**
Shared savings, quality incentive, P4P, etc.

**Value Based Programs**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Value Based Programs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Track Record of Success**
Delivered yearly bonus/shared savings revenue contracted with HealthEC in 2016

**SQP Bonus by Year**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>SQP Bonus by Year</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>$860,801</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>$642,435</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>$2,346,720</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Case Study: Princeton Physicians

- MSSP, Next Gen ACO, commercial VBP with Blues, Cigna
- 600+ independent physicians
- 480,000+ patients
- Partnered with HealthEC in 2015

- 75% of providers participated in the enterprise data warehouse
- 250 providers from 150 practices participated in a practice transformation undertaking
- 39 PCPs moved to a full-risk Medicare program (next-generation ACO)

Results for subset of 16,000 patients commercial and MA

- Princeton PO Physicians outperformed a comparison group of 26 organizations:
  - 9.30% reduction in PMPM in first year, with lowest IP costs
  - 2nd lowest IP utilization in the State
  - 5th lowest ER utilization in the State
  - Level 4 in quality and patient satisfaction measures

- Over $4.2M in SHARED SAVINGS
The Accountable Care Medical Group (ACMG) of Florida is a privately held ACO that supports physicians serving diverse populations throughout Florida, South Carolina, Georgia, California, and Pennsylvania. ACMG utilizes HealthEC’s PHM suite.

### Goals

- Enable participating physicians to monitor utilization/quality performance throughout the year so GPRO reporting is less stressful
- Provide acute event notification services to physicians
- Guide care coordinators in day-to-day activities, including ER admits, risk prevention, and maintaining quality measures while ensuring current time markers for care coordination
- Optimize care coordination programs for the diverse populations served
- Improve individual service quality performance compared to historical benchmarks
- Reward physicians for quality performance so that better performing physicians receive larger shares of savings
- Educate physician participants of the financial, risk, and quality benchmarks required to maximize bonuses
- Identify and differentiate operational costs not directly attributable to physician services
- Build rapport and trust among physicians about the value of ACO program participation

### Challenges

- **Data usability:** Obtaining/converting beneficiary claims into usable forms was a challenge since ACMG’s initial shared-savings agreement with CMS
- **Care Coordination throughout the Patient Experience:** ACMG needed to ensure timely notifications of acute care experiences, coordinate care in post-acute settings, and provide chronic care management services to mitigate risk.

### Solution

- ACMG selected HealthEC’s PHM platform after many assessments of competitive solutions.
- Leadership wanted a vendor partner that would provide the ability to modify and adapt the platform to the evolving needs of the organization.

### Results

HealthEC helped ACMG save more than $9 million annually on an assigned beneficiary population averaging 8,000 people, including an average annual savings of $1,250 per beneficiary.

- **29% Reduction in ER visits**
- **20% Reduction in hospital admits**
- **17% Increase if PCP visits**
- **14% Reduction in PMPM**
Case Study: AICNY

The Alliance for Integrated Care of New York, LLC (AICNY) oversees the healthcare needs of individuals with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD). Comprising ~350 healthcare providers, AICNY cares for over 6,000 dually eligible Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries and is the only MSSP-approved ACO of its kind in the U.S.

Goals

- Identify and implement technology to create a centralized view of the patient’s data, regardless of the originating system or setting of care
- Engage the physician community and illustrate opportunities for improved quality of care
- Manage care coordination and personalize patient communication for social determinants
- Reduce overall cost to serve a growing beneficiary population and geographic region

Challenges

- Integrating care solutions
- Alternative care setting dynamics
- Delivering proactive, personalized care
- Considering social determinants while maintaining privacy

Solution

- HealthEC’s PHM platform was implemented within 6 weeks of contracting.
- HealthEC included two care mgmt. team members to augment AICNY’s provider team to coordinate care for at-risk patients.
- Within 3 years, AICNY integrated 7 CHCs and 25 licensed private practices, completing the GPRO group reporting process.

Results

- $2.4 million reduction in total costs
- 6% reduction in expenditures
- ER visits dropped by 11% and admits dropped by 7%

Data used to risk-stratify patients resulted in a $2.4 million reduction in total costs. Over three quarters of 2018 inpatient expenditures saw a 6% reduction. As a result of teletriage kiosks installed in IDD group homes, ER visits dropped by 11% and admissions dropped by 7%.
Questions and Discussion
Thank You